

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/750,065		Applicant(s) SAVCHENKO ET AL.	
	Examiner DIEM K. CAO		Art Unit 2194	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DIEM K. CAO. (3) Mr. Gregory Caldwell (Reg. No. 39,926).

(2) Mr. Spencer Hunter. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 39, 51, 53, 60, 62 and 69.

Identification of prior art discussed: NPL references cited on PTO 892.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner proposed amendment to put the application in condition for allowance to overcome 112 first, 112 second, and potential art rejections. Applicant agreed and authorized examiner to change the claim in Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195
--	--